## COMSOL CONFERENCE 2017 ROTTERDAM

## Topology Optimization of a 3D-printed Acoustic Chamber For Photoacoustic Spectroscopy with COMSOL Multiphysics<sup>®</sup>

by RACHID HAOUARI PhD Candidate

# ເມງອ



#### OVERVIEW STRUCTURE OF THIS PRESENTATION



- Principles of photoacoustic spectroscopy
- What is topology optimization ?
- Problem definition and simulation set up
- Results, comparison and confirmation
- Conclusion

### PHOTOACOUSTIC SPECTROSCOPY



## BASIC PRINCIPLES OF GASEOUS PHOTOACOUSTIC SPECTROSCOPY AND MAIN ADVANTAGE



#### ເກາec

#### SIGNAL IMPROVEMENT DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS TO PUSH FURTHER THE DETECTION LIMIT

Pressure response of a PAS cell

$$p = K_{geom} \frac{(\gamma - 1) L Q}{\omega V} \alpha P_L$$

Pressure @ microphone p L Laser path length V Cell volume Q Quality factor of the cell Frequency of operation *(*1) Analyte absorption coefficient α  $P_L$ Laser power γ Buffer gas constant ratio

Ways for improvement

- Downsizing (V)
- Multiple laser beam crossing (mirrors) (L)
- Noble gas as a buffer  $(\gamma)$
- Higher laser power  $(P_L)$

*K<sub>geom</sub>* Geometry of the cell ?



## CAN WE SIGNIFICANTLY IMPROVE THE RETRIEVED SIGNAL BY JUST TAILORING THE CELL SHAPE ?





### SIMULATION OF THE PHOTOACOUSTIC EFFECT DESCRIPTION OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Use Thermoacoustic module to take into account thermal and viscous losses

Harmonic excitation  $\Rightarrow$  Frequency domain study

- Gaussian radial repartition of heat power = laser heating
- Air bulk modulus : 17 µPoise
- Boundary layer =  $max(d_{th}, d_{visc})$





#### IMPACT OF THE CELL SHAPE ALL SHAPES FIT A I CM<sup>3</sup> VOLUME



#### PURPOSE OF TOPOLOGY OPTIMIZATION CONFERENCE 2017 ROTTERDAM FIND THE BEST SHAPE OPTIMIZING ITS FUNCTION WHILE COMPLYING TO A SET OF CONSTRAINS

#### Bridge

- <u>Function</u> : withstands the weight
- <u>Constrains</u> :
  - o limited amount of material
  - o light





#### MATERIAL DEFINITION

#### THE OPTIMIZED SHAPE IS AN OPTIMISED DISTRIBUTION OF A MATERIAL PROPERTY

 $\zeta(\mathbf{r}) \neq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if material 1} \\ \zeta_{Q}([0; 1]_{material 2}) \end{cases}$ 

We are looking for material distribution

q penalization parameter : pushes toward 0 or I  $\zeta(r)^q$ 

Material property will depend on the position

SIMP model

(Solid Isotropic Material with Penalization)

$$\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_{mat1} + \zeta(\mathbf{r})^q \left(\rho_{mat2} - \rho_{mat1}\right)$$



over  $\Omega$ 





### PENALIZATION FUNCTIONS SET OF FUNCTIONS TO FORCE THE CONVERGENCE TOWARD DESIRED SOLUTIONS

#### Heaviside projection

- Slow convergence of  $\zeta$  values toward 0 & I
- Gradient based optimization techniques  $\Rightarrow$  continuous function  $\zeta_P = P(\zeta)$



#### COMSOL CONFERENCE 2017 ROTTERDAM

#### Penalized damping = Pamping

- $\sim$  no sound in the solid (impedance mismatch)
- $\Rightarrow$  artificial damping
- damping coefficient  $\alpha \left( P(\zeta(\mathbf{r})) \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if air} \\ K \gg 1 & \text{if solid} \end{cases}$



#### **REGULARIZATION** SMOOTHING TECHNIQUE OF THE SOLUTION

Solution presents a checkboard pattern



BC : convenient to set material on desired boundaries



COMSOL

2017 ROTTERDAM

#### OBJECTIVE AND CONSTRAINS DEFINITION SETTING UP THE GEOMETRY AND OBJECTIVES FOR OPTIMIZATION



#### Constrains

- Relative positioning
- Guaranteed acoustical path
- Amount solid in  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$

$$0 < k_{down} \le \frac{\int_{\Omega} \zeta \, dV}{V} \le k_{up} < 1$$

COMSOL

2017 ROTTERDAM

KU LEUVEI

Maximize the average pressure retrieved @ microphone

**Objective** 

$$\max! \int_{mic} |p|^2 \, dS$$

#### ເງຍ

12

#### ເກາຍc



#### **BOUNDARY CONDITIONS** SCHEMATIC VIEW AND PARALLEL BETWEEN BC AND MATERIAL TOPOLOGY

Dirichlet BC for air :  $\tilde{\zeta} = 0$ 



#### COMSOL<sup>®</sup> IMPLEMENTATION KEY VARIABLES AND PARAMETERS TO BE IMPLEMENTED



#### ເງຍອ

**KU LEUVEN** 

COMSOL

**CONFERENCE** 2017 ROTTERDAM

#### OPTIMIZED CHAMBER @ 25 kHz MICROPHONE LOCATION SET @ THE CENTRE









0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.3

0.2

0.1



z y x

#### OPTIMIZED CHAMBER @ 25 kHz MICROPHONE LOCATION SHIFTED FROM THE CENTRE







### COMPARISON BETWEEN PAS CELLS CRITERIONS TO COMPLY TO MAKE CORRECT COMPARISONS OF TWO CELLS

Pressure response of a PAS cell

$$\frac{p}{QK_{geom}} \frac{(\gamma - 1) L Q}{\omega W} \frac{\alpha R_{PL}}{\omega W}$$

2 PAS cells are equivalent if they have the same

- Volume V
- Laser path length L
- Buffer gas  $\gamma$
- Absorbed laser power  $\alpha P_L$

## The rest is shape dependent





## CONFIRMATION OF SIGNAL IMPROVEMENT THANKS TO TOPOLOGY OPTIMIZATION



#### COMPARISON OF SIMULATED PHOTOACOUSTIC SIGNAL FROM TWO CELLS





## CONCLUSION



- Topology optimization of PAS cell was undertaken
- Building and setting up the COMSOL model
- Definition of equivalency between cells for improvement signal assessment
- Comparison and confirmation of improved signal simulated





## COMSOL MULTIPHYSICS

# COMSOL CONFERENCE 2017 ROTTERDAM