

#### Structural Evaluation of a Hydraulic Loader Crane Using Structural Mechanical (Comsol Multhiphysics®)

M.S. Anderson Braun DR. Angela B.D. Moura Feevale University Novo Hamburgo – RS Brazil

Campus I | Av. Dr. Maurício Cardoso, 510 • Hamburgo Velho • Novo Hamburgo/RS • CEP 93510-250 Campus II | ERS-239, 2755 • Novo Hamburgo/RS • CEP 93352-000 | +55 51 3586.8800 • www.feevale.br



# **1. Introduction**

#### Loader cranes

- Machine with a complex structural design;
- Use of different materials (HSLA, Copolyamide, cast parts).
- Failure in the structure causes material damage and risks to life;
- The objective is to evaluate the stress in the structure and compare it with results from structural calculation according to DIN EN 12999.







## **1. Introduction**



Figure 1. Crane's 3D model, boom steel profile (a), rear sliders (b) and front sliders (c).







## **1. Introduction**



Figure 1. Crane's geometric points.

Point	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	Μ	Ν
Total Reation (Kgf)	36097	33601	65526	36097	65926	65526	18798	18656	12982	10578	10403	5897	3082	2421

**Table 1**. Reactions in the Geometric Points.







# **2. Computational Methods**

- Solid Mechanical's module
- Linear Elastic Material
- Stationary.

The equations solved in the model are:

$$-\nabla . \, \sigma = F v, \qquad \sigma = s$$

Tension - Deformation

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \mathbf{u} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \right)$$

Total strain tensor

 $s = s_0 + C: (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0 - \alpha \theta)$ 

The Duhamel-Hooke's law





## **2. Computational Methods**



Figure 2. Crane first boom: hexagonal profile (a), sliders (b) and applied boundary conditions.







## 3. Results



Figure 3. Stress obtained in the first boom structure (a) and sliders (b) [MPa].







#### 3. Results



Figure 4. Deformation in the first boom structure [mm].







#### **3. Results**

Component	DIN EN 12999	FEA results	Difference		
Upper Steel Profile	370 MPa	320 MPa	-15,63%		
Lower Steel Profile	370 MPa	420 MPa	11,90%		
Upper Slider	14 MPa	17 MPa	17,64%		
Lower Slider	14 MPa	14 MPa	0,00%		

**Table 2**. Calculation and Numerical Simulation results.







# 4. Conclusions

- Values of the static simulation are close to the results of the structural calculation;
- Through simulation we can achieve the maximum stresses location, optimizing the design;
- For future studies, it is possible to conduct nonlinear analysis and verify the influence of the clearance between the sliders and the steel profile.







Thank You!

abraun@feevale.br

Questions?





